

Grace Walton¹, Diane Begemann¹, Cynthia Dunn¹, Darrell Robertson¹, Lauren Keach¹, Emily Baldwin¹, Alex Van Engelenburg², Jessica Durrant², Laura Healy², Koh Meng Aw Yong³, Michael J. Schlosser¹, Fallon K. Noto¹

¹ Hera BioLabs, Inc. Lexington, KY. ² Dallas Tissue Research. Dallas, TX. ³ Charles River Laboratories. Wilmington, MA.

Abstract

Immunodeficient rodent models are vital preclinical models, allowing xenografting of human cells and tissues for drug efficacy and tolerability testing in a human-like disease setting. Historically, immunodeficient mice have been the standard species for cancer xenografts. However, an immunodeficient rat that supports a variety of human cancer cell types provides a larger rodent strain for easier surgical manipulation and serial blood and tumor tissue sampling, allowing for efficacy, pharmacokinetics, and toxicology testing in the same animal. We created a Sprague Dawley Rag2 ^{-/-}, Il2rg ^{-/-} rat (SRG Rat[®]) that readily supports engraftment of a variety of human cells, tissues, and tumors. The SRG rat lacks B, T, and NK cells and is more immunodeficient than the Nude rat.

Here we present results on a comparative pathology study between the SRG rat and its parental strain, the CD[®] rat (CRL Sprague Dawley), to inform toxicology studies in the SRG rat.

This study used 10 SRG rats (5/sex,) and 10 CD rats (5/sex) at 8-10 weeks of age. Body weights, fasted clinical chemistry and hematology were measured. SRG and CD rats were necropsied and select tissues weighed and collected. Microscopic examinations were performed on routine H&E slide preparations.

Male and female SRG rats displayed lower mean body weights when compared to sex- and age-matched CD rats but well within the historical control range seen with CD rats. The only noteworthy differences between the SRG and the CD rats were related to reduced lymphoid tissue and large decreases in circulating lymphocytes, neutrophils, eosinophils, and basophils and to a lesser degree monocytes; expected phenotypes for this severely immunodeficient rat. Thymus tissue was not identified in SRG rats, spleens were grossly smaller and bone marrow cellularity was decreased compared to CD rats. Microscopically, mandibular lymph nodes and lymphoid tissue in spleen, lung, and intestine were not observed in SRG rats which correlated with the 92% decrease in circulating lymphocytes.

These data demonstrate that the SRG rat has comparable pathology to the CD rat, with the exception of reduced lymphoid tissue and lower WBCs which are expected phenotypes. The large size of the SRG rat and comparative pathology to the CD rat support its use as an immunodeficient model for assessing toxicity during efficacy testing for de-risking safety concerns in the presence of the human target tissue.

The SRG Rat[®]

- Sprague Dawley Rag2 null, Il2rgamma null **SRG rat[®]**.
- Lacks mature B, T, and circulating NK cells, the most immunodeficient rat available
- High take rates with a variety of human cell lines
- Serial tumor biopsies and blood draws
- Option to perform PK studies within efficacy studies
- Suitable for safety and toxicity testing

Materials and Methods

Ten SRG rats (CRL strain #707; 5/sex) and 10 CD rats (CRL strain #001; 5/sex) at 8-10 weeks of age were necropsied, blood and urine obtained for clinical pathology, and major organs/tissue examined microscopically for comparative pathology. Formalin-fixed tissues from all 20 rats were submitted to Dallas Tissue Research, processed to paraffin blocks, and sectioned at ~4 μm. H&E histoslides were evaluated under light microscopy by an ACVP board-certified veterinary pathologist. Histologic findings were diagnosed and assigned a severity score 0-5 (0=not present/normal, 1=minimal, 2=mild, 3=moderate, 4=marked, 5=severe) or Present (P). Complete blood count (CBC), clinical chemistry, clotting profile, and urinalysis were performed on samples collected on the day of sacrifice by IDEXX Laboratories, with data supplied to the pathologist for interpretation.

Results: Necropsy and Organ Weights

	Sex	Males		Females	
		CD	SRG [‡]	CD	SRG [‡]
Spleen					
Absolute Weight (g)		0.63	-63.59	0.49	-58.23
Body Weight Ratio (%)		0.002	-60.13	0.002	-57.03
Brain Weight Ratio (%)		0.29	-59.52	0.25	-50.04

[‡] Mean absolute organ weights and organ weights relative to body and brain weight for CD rats (actual values) and SRG rats (expressed as % decrease from CD rats).

Table 1. Body and Organ Weights. Mean body weights were lower in male (-28%) and female (-48%) SRG rats; however, they were within historical reference range for 8-10 week old CD rats. Thymus was not detected macroscopically in SRG rats. Spleen weights (absolute and relative) were lower in SRG rats compared to CD rats ($p \leq 0.05$). No other relative organ weight or gross pathologic differences were observed between SRG and CD rats for the remaining organs/tissues evaluated (i.e., eyes, cecum, colon, duodenum, heart, ileum, jejunum, kidneys, liver, lung, stomach, and trachea). See below for other lymphoid tissue.

Results: Clinical Pathology

	Sex	Males		Females	
		CD	SRG	CD	SRG
WBC count					
Lymphocytes	Absolute Count/% Change	11,120	-83.5%	10,580	-87.2%
Monocytes	Absolute Count/% Change	9091	-91.8%	7993	-92%
Eosinophils	Absolute Count/% Change	363.2	-56.8%	375.2	-73.1%
Basophils	Absolute Count/% Change	89.2	-82.1%	102	-88.2%
Neutrophils	Absolute Count/% Change	18.6	-79.6%	8.6	-32.6%
Mean Corpuscular Volume	Absolute Count/% Change	1558	-41.0	2102	-71.4
	fL/% Change	68.6	-21.6%	67	-15.8%

Table 2. Clinical pathology results for CD rats (absolute counts per μL for all except for MCV expressed in fL) and SRG rats (expressed as % decrease from CD rats). Reduced lymphocytes were the most prominent change in the SRG rat. Lymphocyte counts were decreased by 92% in SRG rats relative to CD rats ($p \leq 0.05$). In addition, total WBCs, monocytes, eosinophils, and neutrophils were also significantly reduced in the SRG rat compared to CD rats ($p \leq 0.05$). The difference in basophils between the two strains was not significant. Red blood cell size was slightly smaller in the SRG rat versus CD rats, but within normal range found in CD rats. Other RBC parameters were similar to CD rats. All parameters for clinical chemistry, urinalysis, and coagulation were similar and within normal ranges for SRG rats compared to CD rats.

Conclusions

Compared with the parental strain (CD rats), age-matched SRG rats displayed lower body weight, but were within the historical reference range for CD rats and had lower spleen weight. Thymus was not identified macroscopically, and mandibular lymph nodes were not identified microscopically in the SRG rat. Lymphoid tissue in spleen, lung, and intestine was not observed in the SRG rat. Loss of lymphoid cells/tissues was the main difference between the SRG rat and CD rat strains. There were no other gross abnormalities macroscopically or microscopically between the SRG rat and the CD rat.

Results: Histopathology




Figure 1. Histopathology of select organs in the SRG rat and CD rat. H&E Stain. **Spleen:** Lower spleen weights corresponded to grossly smaller spleens in SRG rats. This reduction in size was due to reduced lymphocytes within all regions of the white pulp; the PALS (P), follicle (F), and marginal zone (M) seen in the CD rat were absent in SRG rats. The red pulp (RP) was not affected. Arterioles (white *) are indicated. **Bone marrow:** Minimal to mild reduction in cellularity of the hematopoietic (H) tissue was seen in the bone marrow of SRG rats, with a concomitant increase in adipose (black arrowheads). **Heart, Liver:** Histopathology from CD and SRG rats was within normal limits (black *: blood vessel, C: central vein; P: portal tract). **Kidney:** Minimal tubular cast formation (black arrow) was the only finding in SRG rats and is a common background finding in CD rats. (G: glomerulus, T: tubule).

References

1. Noto, et al., 2020. The SRG rat, a Sprague-Dawley Rag2/Il2rg double-knockout validated for human tumor oncology studies. PLOS ONE 15(10): e0240169.
2. Schafer KA, Eighmy J, Fikes JD, et al. (2018). Use of Severity Grades to Characterize Histopathologic Changes. Toxicol Pathol. 46 (3): 256-65.
3. Charles River Laboratories, Inc. (2012). CD[®] Rat Hematology. Accessed December 08, 2023. <https://www.criver.com/sites/default/files/Technical%20Resources/Clinical%20Pathology%20Data%20for%20North%20American%20CD%20Rat%20Colonies%20for%20January%202006%20-%20December%202011.pdf>
4. Charles River Laboratories, Inc. (2023). CD[®] (Sprague Dawley) Rats. Accessed December 08, 2023. <https://www.criver.com/products-services/find-model/cd-sd-igs-rat?region=3611>